EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY
COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Meeting to be held in the
Ceres Suite,
Worksop Town Hall, S80 2AH
on Thursday, 19th January 2017
at 6.30pm

(Please note time and venue)

Please turn mobile telephones to silent during meetings.
In case of emergency, Members/officers can be contacted
on the Council’s mobile telephone: 07702 670209.

In accordance with the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014,
audio/visual recording and photography at Council meetings is permitted
in accordance with the Council’s protocol ‘Filming of Public Meetings’.
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Membership 2016/17


Substitute Members: Any Member except those appointed to the Cabinet

Quorum: 3 Members

Lead Officer for this Meeting

Steve Brown - Ext. 3767

Administrator for this Meeting

Cara Crossland - Ext. 3254
EXTRAORDINARY MEETING

of the

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Thursday, 19th January 2017

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS AND OFFICERS * (Pages 5 - 6) (Members’ and Officers’ attention is drawn to the attached notes and form)
   (a) Members
   (b) Officers

SECTION A – ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION IN PUBLIC

Key Decisions

None

Other Decisions

3. REPORT(S) OF THE DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE RESOURCES *
   (a) Annual Review of Bassetlaw, Newark & Sherwood Community Safety Partnership (pages 7-30)

Exempt Information Items

The press and public are likely to be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972.

SECTION B - ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION IN PRIVATE

Key Decisions

None

Other Decisions

None

* Report attached
+ Verbal report
NOTES:

1. The papers enclosed with this Agenda are available in large print if required.

2. Copies can be requested by contacting us on 01909-533254 or by e-mail: cara.crossland@bassetlaw.gov.uk
## DECLARATION OF INTEREST

**COMMITTEE** ………………………………………………………………………………………………

**DATE** ………………………………………………………………………..

**NAME OF MEMBER** : ………………………………………………………………………………………

**Type of Interest**

1. Disclosable Pecuniary
2. Non Pecuniary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item No.</th>
<th>REASON *</th>
<th>Type of Interest (1 or 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signed

Dated

**Note:**

* When declaring an interest you must also state the nature of your interest.

Completion of this form is to aid the accurate recording of your interest in the Minutes. The signed form should be provided to the Minuting Clerk at the end of the meeting.

A nil return is not required.

It is still your responsibility to disclose any interests which you may have at the commencement of the meeting and at the commencement of the appropriate Agenda item.
DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

HOW TO USE THIS FORM

There are now only two types of Declaration of Interest:

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests

Non Pecuniary Interests

Details can be found in the Councillors Code of Conduct which is contained in the Council’s Constitution (a summary is printed below)

Upon receipt of the attached form you will need to enter the name and date of the Committee and your own name. By looking at the Agenda you will no doubt know immediately which Agenda Items will require you to make a Declaration of Interest.

Fill in the Agenda Item number in the first column of the form.

Enter the subject matter and any explanations you may wish to add in the second column.

In the third column you will need to enter either if you are declaring a disclosable pecuniary interest, or a non pecuniary interest.

The form must then be signed and dated. Please remember that if during the actual meeting you realise that you need to declare an interest on an additional Agenda Item number please simply amend the form during the meeting.

The form must be handed into the Committee Administrator at the end of the meeting.

NB. The following is a summary prepared to assist Members in deciding at the actual meetings their position on INTERESTS it is not a substitute for studying the full explanation regarding INTERESTS, which is contained in the Council’s Constitution and the Code of Conduct for Councillors, which is legally binding.

Members and Officers are welcome to seek, PREFERABLY WELL IN ADVANCE of a meeting advice from the Council’s Monitoring Officer on INTERESTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosable Pecuniary Interests</th>
<th>Action to be Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May relate to employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain</td>
<td>Must disclose to the meeting - existence of the interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to sponsorship</td>
<td>- the nature of the interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to contracts</td>
<td>- withdraw from the room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to interests in land</td>
<td>- not seek improperly to influence a decision on the matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to licences to occupy land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to corporate tenancies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to securities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non Pecuniary Interests</th>
<th>Action to be Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May relate to any body of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management and to which you are appointed or nominated by the Council</td>
<td>Must disclose to the meeting - existence of the interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May relate to any person from whom you have received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25</td>
<td>- the nature of the interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Member may also have a non pecuniary interest where a decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting wellbeing or the wellbeing of other council tax payers, or ratepayers or inhabitants in the electoral division or ward, as the case may be, affected by the decision.</td>
<td>- not seek improperly to influence a decision on the matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note – there are special provisions relating to “Sensitive Interests” which may exclude the above provisions in certain circumstances.)
Overview and Scrutiny Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic to be reviewed:</th>
<th>Community Safety Partnership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title of Review:</td>
<td>Annual Review of Bassetlaw, Newark &amp; Sherwood Community Safety Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of meeting:</td>
<td>19.01.17 (Extraordinary meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Review:</td>
<td>Presentation/Report to Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Links to Corporate Priorities:</td>
<td>Ambition 3: Quality Housing and Decent Neighbourhoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ambition 4: Local Living Standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is our Ambition?

To receive an update on progress over the past year.
To understand current developments/areas of delivery and who is responsible for the different work-streams.
To examine performance and assess how we compare to similar areas/previous years’ performance.

What is working and why? (including current levels of performance)

Good local working relationships have been established over a number of years, and continue despite changes in personnel.

The CSP has adapted to changing organisational and funding structures in order to continue to deliver effective outcomes for local people.

Partnership Plus areas are performing well – the review will include presentation of Partnership Plus and local Police data.

A number of local projects are proving effective such as the offender resettlement project.

What do we want to change and why?

Where areas of concern arise from the information presented, Members may make suggestions to the partners present. It is also an opportunity to raise local Ward issues and establish how partners could address them working with local councillors/community leaders.

The CSP is currently adopting a new approach to identify areas of priority – Members need to understand this new approach and how partners are engaged, barriers to success.

The CSP has had limited engagement with CGL since the last meeting and this is an area of concern due to lack of information on progress.
Who and how shall we consult?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who</th>
<th>How</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Safety Manager – Gerald Connor</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair of CSP – Ros Theakstone</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notts Police (Chief Insp. Bassetlaw/Newark &amp; Sherwood) – Andy Rooke</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGL (previously CRI New Directions) – Minesh Patel, Services Manager</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCC Public Health – Barbara Brady, Interim Director of Public Health</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation (CRC) – Deborah Gray, Partnership &amp; Commissioning Manager</td>
<td>Formal report/attendance at Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do we need any experts/Specialists? (Internal/ External)

Internal community safety/enforcement officers
External CSP Partners – as per previous list.

Further information/research required

Background reports to be supplied from CSP and key partners i.e. Police; CGL; showing performance/trend data for the past year.

What are the key outcomes?

To comply with our duties under s.19 of the Act.
To improve members knowledge.
To ensure that all issues related to the Community Safety agenda are covered via one review rather a series of mini reviews.
To assess whether any recommendations can be made internally/to partners, to further improve local outcomes.

Context/background Scene Setting:

Section 19 of the Police and Justice Act requires every local authority to have a Crime and Disorder Committee with the power to review or scrutinise decisions made or other action taken in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions. (Responsible authorities are effectively the statutory partners within a community safety partnership i.e. Police, local authorities (county and district), Fire and Rescue Authority, Probation Trusts and Clinical Commissioning Groups.)

As Bassetlaw is part of a merged Community Safety Partnership with Newark and Sherwood a joint meeting of the two District Council scrutiny committees has previously been held each year (2008-2013). However, Newark & Sherwood DC have reverted to a committee structure, rather than a Leader and Cabinet model and consequently, it is no longer possible to timetable a joint meeting of the two authorities around the usual committee meeting programme. Consequently the two authorities have opted to hold individual meetings since the 2014-15 municipal year.
Proposed Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Time allowance</th>
<th>Officer presenting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Plus areas/Locality Working</td>
<td>10-15 mins</td>
<td>Gerald Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Risk Assessment/Future Working Models</td>
<td>10-15 mins</td>
<td>Ros Theakstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Crime stats/Rural Crime</td>
<td>10-15 mins</td>
<td>Andy Rooke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGL Update</td>
<td>15-20 mins</td>
<td>Minesh Patel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barbara Brady</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Case Study – Offender Resettlement</td>
<td>15-20 mins</td>
<td>Gerald Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deborah Gray</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Lines of Questioning:

- What is the progress of links with the Fire Service to create integrated teams/systems?
- Has there been any progress on the site at Ranby?
- What is the current situation with community policing?
  - Are there further cuts planned to PCSO numbers?
  - What current links are in place with voluntary groups/TRAs?
  - What current links are there to local schools – do schools officers still exist?
  - What is the effectiveness of the current dispersal areas – are there any additional resources due to the cuts in youth service provision?
  - What crime prevention strategies are being used for work with young people?
- What funding is available for domestic violence services and how do organisations work together and communicate?
- Voluntary Police – How is recruitment in this area/ What is the current approach regarding volunteers?
- Has there been an increase in hate crime?
- What are the current statistics and resources for Rural Policing?
  - Are both Parish and District Councillors invited to the meeting led by Sgt. Crofts?
- What are the current police numbers in the area and how has the area been affected by cuts?
Nottinghamshire Recovery and Treatment Services

Presented by:
Samantha Craggs and Katie Tuska
Nottinghamshire Substance Misuse Treatment and Recovery Services
We are a leading charity providing free treatment and support to vulnerable people facing addiction, homelessness and domestic abuse. We support around 115,000 people across more than 160 locations each year, of which 72,000 receive structured treatment programmes.
Where do we delivery services from?

• The main hub is based in Worksop, just off the high street which includes late night delivery

• A specialist hospital liaison service operates at Bassetlaw District General, with pathways into Doncaster Royal Infirmary for coordinated care

• St Johns Church acts as an outreach location linking to the soup kitchen to engage vulnerable service users

• Retford Church, The Grove is used as a regular outreach hub.

• Shared care operates across the area – Riverside and Larwood.

• Home visits are undertaken as a regular part of the service focussing on safeguarding and risk. Where possible joint visits are now undertaken with e.g. social care as part of joint care planning.
Service User Ward Breakdown
cgl Nottinghamshire (Bassetlaw)
Numbers in treatment - Snapshot Dec 16
Service User Drug Category Breakdown
cgl Nottinghamshire (Bassetlaw)
Numbers in treatment in comparison to last year - Dec 15 & Dec 16

As of December 2016, 4% of service users in Bassetlaw have an NPS listed in Drug 1, 2 or 3.
Successful Completions

cgl Nottinghamshire - Bassetlaw

Oct 15 - Sept 16

Number of Successful Completions

Oct-15
Nov-15
Dec-15
Jan-16
Feb-16
Mar-16
Apr-16
May-16
Jun-16
Jul-16
Aug-16
Sep-16

Oct-15: 20
Nov-15: 10
Dec-15: 7
Jan-16: 19
Feb-16: 14
Mar-16: 18
Apr-16: 23
May-16: 17
Jun-16: 11
Jul-16: 19
Aug-16: 17
Sep-16: 19
Successful Completions per month by Drug Category

cgl Nottinghamshire - Bassetlaw

Oct 15 - Sept 16

- Alcohol
- Non-Opiate
- Non-Opiate And Alcohol
- Opiate
Number of full years service user has been in treatment on current treatment journey.
Treatment Journey Start Date to 19/12/2016
cgl Nottinghamshire - Bassetlaw

Number of service users

- Alcohol
- Non-Opiate
- Non-Opiate And Alcohol
- Opiate

Number of full years in treatment:
- 0 years: 88
- 1 year: 76
- 2 years: 32
- 3 years: 65
- 4 years: 37
- 5 years: 35
- 5+ years: 20
- 0+ years: 17
Average number of Referrals by referral source per month, cgl Nottinghamshire - (Bassetlaw)  
Oct 15 to Sept 16

Average of 68 referrals per month
Average number of Referrals to cgl Nottinghamshire, by drug category per month,
cgl Nottinghamshire - (Bassetlaw)
Oct 15 to Sept 16

Drug information is not always available at referral as this is recorded at triage.
Community engagement and recovery support offer

CGL have been raising their profile attending various events, some of these have included;

- Worksop Pride
- The Bassetlaw Big Breakfast (BCVS)
- World Mental health Awareness day at the Dukeries Business centre
- Worksop Family Fun day
- Careers fayre at post-16 centre
- The Children’s Trust Roadshow
- Bassetlaw Hospital- advice/brief interventions sessions held on a monthly basis
- Polish community centre (Manton)
- The Market place event
- There are now more service user led groups taking place in Bassetlaw
Service Development

- Embedding the Blue Light Project within the Bassetlaw locality
- Strengthen links between the local NPS forum to the Professional Intelligence Network (PIN) forum.
- Ambulatory detox offer
- PAR stages
- Developing out of court disposals
Thank you for your time.

Any questions?

Email: Notts@cgl.org.uk
Tel: 0115 8960798
Web: www.changegrowlive.org.uk
AB was new to treatment following a personal crisis where his mental health had deteriorated and had been taken to hospital. He was signposted to our service via the Psychiatric Liaison Team at the hospital and attended for an ‘open access’ assessment at the Worksop centre.

AB presented with high anxiety levels although highly motivated to make change to his drug use. AB had identified that his drug use was having a major negative impact on his emotional health. AB had been dependant on his drug use since childhood following a series of personal traumas at a young age.

AB was offered structured treatment through regular contact within the workshops. This was also complemented with wider support within a peer support group and contact one to one with a worker within the service.

Through the support offered to AB he was able to identify with himself as a person in recovery. Throughout his engagement within the sessions, and the encouragement, coaching and support from the group, AB was able to take tentative steps towards undertaking progressively more difficult and anxiety-provoking tasks to move forward in his recovery. Throughout this process AB was able to identify and engage with wider support including long term therapy to address the emotional impact of the childhood trauma. This approach also allowed AB to take ownership and personal responsibility for his recovery journey. He also accessed Breaking Free online, the online recovery programme.

A key aspect to the support offered to AB through a core delivery of group sessions was to provide structure and routine. This was also to provide a safe environment to reflect on the impact of his substance use and behaviours, while supporting with the development of coping strategies.

It was also highlighted that the visible recovery of AB was a catalyst in enabling wider family members to also engage with support. This support helped members of the family move forward with their wider recovery needs and the social aspects of AB’s own recovery. His wife was signposted to Hetty’s for support and also attended the centre to feedback to the manager about the progress that AB had made and the positive impact this had on the wider family.

AB attended each session of the Change Programme and graduated from the programme six weeks later maintaining a drug free lifestyle. AB was discharged from the service drug free and with a clear structured plan of support in place to maintain his drug free lifestyle. This included the ongoing engagement of other psychological support that had been initiated through a joint approach to the treatment offered.
RRP and Bassetlaw Partnership Working

Working to strengthen pathways for criminal justice service users
Project Proposal

• To explore ways to take a localised partnership approach to working with priority local CRC Criminal Justice cases within Worksop
• To explore viability of targeting resettlement cases for this approach
• To explore how existing mechanisms could be used to support this approach
• To undertake short evaluation of the project
Actions

• Identification of Local Multi Agency Problem Solving Group/Vulnerable Peoples Panel as potential context for the project
  – Benefit that this is an existing meeting with all partners already engaged
  – Criminal Justice service users could meet the existing criteria by virtue of the vulnerabilities they present
  – Proposal to list up to 5 referrals each month and for the CRC to send monthly representation to the panel
Caseload Analysis

Average of 10 releases per month to Worksop postcodes from local prisons
• 2 from HMP Ranby and
• 8 from HMP Nottingham

Engagement with local Offender Management Team, HMP Ranby RUM, Troubled Families

• Explored identification process in custody – however due to low numbers relative to the resettlement teams caseload it was agreed that referrals would be identified by local Offender Managers and consistent person from the CRC team will attend the panel.
Early Days

There has been one panel since the start of the project with 3 cases referred.

Immediate outcomes

• Local CRC Offender Manager indicated that
  – They found the process valuable.
  – They left the panel with a more robust plan for individuals released and also contingency for cases referred.
  – Greater awareness of partner involvement relating to other mutual cases that other partners had referred.
Case Study

- Single male mid 30’s
- Homeless
- Medium risk
- No coping skills
- Substance misuse issues
- Local connection
- Verbally abusive